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(Charles) Page Smith--he dropped the first of his given names in the 1950s--was born on September 6, 1917, in Baltimore, Maryland. After the Gilman School he went to Dartmouth College. An indifferent student, in conventional academic terms, he would have left college without his B.A. degree except for the intervention of members of the faculty who arranged that he be granted one. However, intellectually and in terms of his ideals and his vision of the world, his Dartmouth years were profoundly important; for it was there, and then, in the creative ferment of New Deal liberalism, and with a European war looming in the background, that he encountered the first of the tutelary figures who presided over his career--Eugene Rosenstock-Huessy, an emigre German historian and philosopher whose books and person aroused in Page Smith’s imagination the sense of history as spiritual journey and moral drama.

William James was another such figure. One consequence of his influence, Camp William James, an off-shoot of the New Deal Civilian Conservation Corps, expressed Page Smith’s passion for uniting thought and action in collaborative ways. More generally he absorbed Jamesian values and attitudes--respect for human individuality, service to society, disdain for the merely respectable, an abiding sympathy for the eccentric. This blended with a predisposition toward a Calvinist view of human nature, a combination often puzzling to his contemporaries and collaborators. But contrarieties and contradictions, the mysteries of human conduct, did not disturb him and he responded feelingly to words of Walt Whitman's, which conveyed this unfathomable complexity.

Do I contradict myself?
Very well, I contradict myself.

I contain multitudes.

World War II was a tremendous influence. Drafted into the army, he served as a company commander with the 10th Mountain Division in Italy, and was wounded in action. The war revealed to him, as it did to other thoughtful members of his generation, that Enlightenment and liberal ideas about human nature and progress, long dominant in American culture, needed to be reconsidered. This he did at Harvard University, entering graduate school as an English major but switching to history. His mentor was Samuel Eliot Morison, whose fluency as a writer on American subjects converted him to the study of the American 17th and 18th centuries, and whose mastery of narrative history exemplified what he wished to do as a writer. As well, at Harvard, he absorbed Perry Miller’s reconsideration of American puritanism which, in turn, owed much to the thought of Reinhold Niebuhr.

Page Smith joined the faculty of the University of California at Los Angeles in 1953. He quickly established himself as a compelling lecturer and as a powerful presence, attracting students with the vividness of his teaching and opening the Smith home (he had married Eloise Pickard, a North Carolina artist, in 1942) to undergraduate and graduate students. In these years he came into his own as biographer: James Wilson, 1956, a study of that (then) neglected federalist; John Adams, 1962, massive, two-volume, Bancroft Award-winning; The Historian and History, 1964, among the most personal of his books, iconoclastic in its skepticism about conventional notions of objectivity.

In 1963 he moved to Santa Cruz, California, to the new campus of the University of California where he became the founding Provost of Cowell College, the first of the colleges around which the university was organized, colleges where teaching would be emphasized and the impersonality of the large university minimized. The innovations at Santa Cruz were modest enough, but hotly opposed by many. Page Smith led the reformers with eloquence and courage and endeared himself to another generation of students; in many ways he found the counter-cultural atmosphere of the 1960s congenial. But the power of established ways often frustrated him and the inescapable pressure for specialization and departmental allegiance undercut the colleges and in 1973 he resigned from the university.

Books and essays, lectures and newspaper columns flowed from the self-described “fastest typewriter in the west” in the two decades that followed. And there were
incessant community engagements, the Socratic `penny university,’ open to all, with weekly meetings to discuss the issues of the day; the philanthropic William James Association which sponsored, under the inspiration of Eloise Smith, a prison arts project. Page Smith's books, wide-ranging, provocative, readable, found the literate general audience they were aimed at. Among them: *The Chicken Book*, 1975; *The Constitution, A Documentary and Narrative History*, 1978; an aptly titled collection of essays, *Dissenting Opinions*, 1984; a spirited return to the attack on academic folly, *Killing the Spirit*, 1990. Twenty-two volumes in all, including two which appeared at the time of his death, in Santa Cruz, of leukemia, on August 28.

Page Smith had an uncanny knack for anticipating subjects which would later gain popularity, *As A City Upon A Hill*, 1966, a study of the small town in American history; and *Daughters of the Promised Land*, 1970, the role of women. The climax of his life's work, his most ambitious and representative narrative, was his eight-volume *People’s History of the United States*, 1976-1987, beginning with two volumes on the Revolution and ending with the New Deal and World War II, those defining events of his own life. Personal, impassioned, discursive, he found his subject “endlessly fascinating and absorbing,” and wrote of it in a “perpetual state of wonder and awe,” and this is conveyed powerfully to the reader.

“A book is a poor contrivance to catch a life in,” he had written in *John Adams*. How much less satisfactory, then, is so reductive a form as an obituary? How to catch the extraordinary presence of the man, who combined innate modesty with effortless command, passionate truth-telling, however unpalatable with personal decorum, power with kindness, seriousness with amused joy? How to convey the spirit of his idea of history, grounded in the past but speaking to the present, affirming life?
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